

MARYS RIVER ESTATES ROAD DISTRICT BUDGET MEETING #2 FY26-27

April 13, 2026

Zoom meeting

Meeting will be recorded for the purpose of note taking by secretary. Recording will be kept for one year on personal computer and approved written minutes will be kept on website indefinitely.

Attendees: Rick Veronen, (President), Debbie Jackson (Treasurer) and Budget Officer for FY26-27, Sandy Chapman (Secretary) Robyn Lillehei

Call to order: 6:44 PM by Rick; Meeting delay as Sandy had computer troubles and met at Debbie's for the meeting.

Debbie did an agenda:

Review of minutes/approval: March 16, 2026 Debbie reviewed the written minutes and did not have any changes. Debbie checked the spreadsheet against the written minutes and it reflected what we talked about. She is will save the updated spreadsheet (that is going to be done with today's meeting) [Debbie makes motion to accept the minutes from March 16th. Rick seconds. Rick: All in favor? Rick, Debbie and Sandy agree, minutes passed.](#)

Information on new Contractor Rick met:

Debbie: Did you (Sandy) meet up with them walking around (Rick and a potential contractor)? Sandy did not. Debbie: Asks Rick for any information from the walk around with the fellow that might give us any...

Rick: Pricing for budgetary purposes were gotten for chip sealing. They do chip seal over asphalt. The pricing the contractor said might be high, but with oil prices bouncing around he was comfortable saying \$85,000.00 for a mile. That is with fog seal application. Debbie asked if he was experienced with that and Rick said yes, he is. Debbie: Did he have any qualifiers about the hill?

Rick: It is a challenge, when you talk about the size of the rock, the contractor expounded all over on it about how they mesh together. When we were pursuing the different rock sizes (with our previous problem with chip seal application) there was a lot more validity with that than I anticipated.

Rick is thinking about how this individual might fit. His suggestion is that we do a trial run with him in some way shape or form. If it works, then we've got a vendor. Not to go hog wild, but do a very select segment. They also do the cut repairs. He gave a \$5,000.00 to \$10,000.00 range which Rick did not think to be too bad. Sandy: For what size though? Rick: This would be a local cut, like the culvert. Digging out the culvert, restoring the asphalt over it. \$5,000.00 for something like that.

Sandy: For the whole culvert repair and asphalt on top? Rick: He said we'd buy the culvert. He'd go ahead and dig it out, put it in and put the asphalt back over on the top. Debbie: That's without materials. Rick: The culvert is not excessive. When they get into actually quoting it, they may change, but it was pretty palatable. He did say on the repair on Columbine we should talk with the County for approval for a french drain under the road. Rick brought up his thoughts that we have never chip sealed the multi-modal road. Saying it has never been done and was always on the list and we quit having the availability of contractors when that took place. So, Rick suggests that we have this new contractor Holmes, do the two the two repairs on Columbine and chip seal it. then take our time and assess what we have and see if he is a good vendor. Sandy still wants a quote from James and for (Holmes) do a separate asphalt quote. Rick says Holmes has a cutting saw and James may not. Sandy: You just rent a cutting saw then for \$150.00. Rick: I know we like James, but we do not expand our tool without an effort to do so. Debbie: If we are looking at \$85,000.00, we are going to have advertise for more quotes. She will have to research the RD's criteria on what is required. Rick wants to work under request for proposal and not a sealed bid. Rick questions if that amount

would trigger BOLI requirements. Sandy says it is \$50,00.00. Rick: Other companies in Deschutes Co. and Columbia in Portland also do commercial chip sealing. As far as the budget is concerning, Rick would not be adverse, to putting some money into the budget (for chip sealing) if that is something we want pursue.

Debbie: Presents two formal requests for repairs on QA from TR and Adam for Daisy Dr. Rick adds that there is also a pothole per se, at the end of the asphalt apron from Columbine and the edge of the chip seal on QA that needs repair. If Holmes is doing the chip seal, we have him fix that as he can do a layer over what is repaired and blend it in at this area.

Debbie: Screen shares Ricks spreadsheet for costs he has figured for budget. Debbie adds dust control and engineering to the sheet. Sandy suggests that we have someone give us a qualified answer on what we do need to do for the chip sealed roads. Debbie: Needs clarification on what is Adam sees is wrong with Daisy. Sandy: The chip seal is coming off, but the road itself is good. There are several places of alligator cracking where the water is coming up through. The cracking is from underground water coming up through the asphalt. A place holder is put for engineering of \$25,000.00 in the budget. Amounts discussed for grading/graveling: \$7,000.00, mowing: \$5,000.00, tree trimming/cutting: 7,500.00. Rick wanted another detailed explanation of what was going to cost so much for tree trimming which was done at a previous meeting. Rick upset that we have not historically spent that amount of money. Thinks it should be a one off and not go into next years' budget. Debbie tries to explain that when she gives the budget to the Stat, it is two categories: Everything and Contingency. So, our method of calling it Capitol or not, has no basis in anything except for being bigger or larger. The recurring things have been in the bottom of the spread sheet. Rick was trying to create a sheet that can be adapted from year to year. Debbie: She likes the current spread sheet we have been using that gives us multi-year comparison. Rick is trying to get a spread sheet for mil rate. Debbie will plug numbers into our currently used budget spreadsheet and present this to us at the next meeting if not before.

Discussion: Budget guidelines say we have to be careful not to accrue excess funds that we do not have allocated from one year to the next. Rick: If we have excess funds, we need to target them for something. Need a tangible target for the funds that are carrying forward. Discissions have to be made yet on what projects can and will be done in FY25-26 versus FY 26-27.

With Adams concern of Daisy (needing chip seal) we do not have \$85,000.00 to do the mile of road right now. We need to add dollars for Tansy for turn-around project.

Action Item: Debbie will plug numbers into our currently used budget spreadsheet and present this to the Commissioners at the next meeting if not before.

A third budget meeting is requested by Debbie: May 18, at 6:30 PM is agreed upon by all Commissioner

7:47 Rick: Motion to adjourn. All in favor? All Commissioners Rick, Debbie and Sandy agree.